Edge-Eye: Rectifying Millimeter-Level Edge Deviation in Manufacturing using Camera-enabled IoT Edge Device

Zihao Chu, Lei Xie zihaochu@smail.nju.edu.cn lxie@nju.edu.cn State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology, Nanjing University Nanjing, China Tao Gu tao.gu@mq.edu.au School of Computing, Macquarie University Sydney, Australia Yanling Bu, Chuyu Wang, Sanglu Lu yanling@smail.nju.edu.cn {chuyu,sanglu}@nju.edu.cn State Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology, Nanjing University Nanjing, China

ABSTRACT

Irradiated Cross-linked Polyethylene Foam (IXPE) has been one of the most commonly used materials in industry. During the production process of IXPE sheets, their edges need keep aligned strictly, otherwise, they could quickly get out of the border of the rolling plate and cause the huge economic loss. In this paper, we propose a camera-enabled approach, called Edge-Eye, to rectify the edge deviation automatically for IXPE production with millimeter-level accuracy. We deploy a commercial camera with mobile edge node in front of the IXPE sheet to continuously detect and rectify the edge deviation. Particularly, to handle the complex production environment when extracting the edge of IXPE sheet, we deploy a pair of reference bars with high-contrast colors to efficiently differentiate the sheet edge from the background. Then, we propose a Bi-direction Edge Tracking method to perform the edge detection from both vertical and horizontal aspects. To realize the rectification using mobile edge nodes with limited computing resources, we reduce the cost of computation by extracting the Minimized Region of Interest, i.e., the edge area overlapped with the higher contrast reference bar on both sides. We further design a negative feedback control system with multi-stage feedback regulation mechanism, keeping the edge deviation within millimeter-level. We implemented Edge-Eye on the ARM64 platform and performed evaluation in the practical IXPE production process. The experimental results show that *Edge-Eve* achieves the average accuracy of 5mm for the edge deviation rectification, with the average latency of 200ms for edge deviation detection. During the process of 20-month real deployment for 36 production lines, 66 manpower per day (90% of the overall manpower) has been saved, and the utilization rate of IXPE material increases from 87% to 94%.

KEYWORDS

IXPE Production, Computer Vision, Edge Deviation Rectification, Industial Internet of Things

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Motivation

Irradiated Cross-linked Polyethylene Foam (IXPE) is one of the fundamental industrial materials, widely used for the automotive trim, upholstery, and industrial packaging. During the production process, raw IXPE sheets will first go through a high temperature furnace via the conveyor belt to be heated, softened and foamed. When coming out from the furnace, they will be stretched and widened by a pair of spreader rolls, and rolled up into a roll. The roll will be finally trimmed from both sides to end the production. In this process, it is crucial to align both edges of IXPE sheets on the conveyor belt continuously. Since the conveyor belt moves at a speed of over 1m/s, any misalignment could be quickly accumulated in the rolling up stage, causing more edges trimmed away and hence more materials wasted. However, it is difficult to stretch and widen IXPE sheets in a uniform manner due to the non-uniformity of thickness in raw IXPE sheets. Thus, the real edge deviation in the production line is ranged from 1cm to 3cm per second on average.

The existing edge deviation rectification relies on the human supervision, i.e., an operator monitors the IXPE sheet in real time and adjusts the edge when necessary. This requires a high level of concentration from the operator, which is very labor-intensive. For a long time, the rectification precision of IXPE production cannot be guaranteed, resulting in a high level of material waste. As the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) is increasingly deployed in manufacturing, fully automatic edge deviation rectification can be made possible for IXPE production, greatly reducing the labor cost and effectively improving the long-term production efficiency.

1.2 Limitations of Prior Art

Several solutions have been proposed to detect the edge position, including laser ranging, millimeter wave, and camera-based solutions. The laser ranging solution can accurately detect the depth difference between IXPE sheet and background, hence detect edges. However, as the laser unit detects the distance of one position at a time, it is inconvenient to deploy the laser ranging array for monitoring the whole furnace, or incurs delay if moving a single unit. Millimeter wave (mmWave) radar can achieve high accuracy for distance estimation, but not for angular estimation [1] [18]. Thus, it is also unsuitable for detecting the material edge. Although the moving scanning of mmWave radar can improve the angular accuracy, the movement increases the detection delay [8] as well. Compared with laser and mmWave, computer vision can detect the contour edge, hence appropriate for edge detection. Especially, 3D cameras measure the depth for each pixel using Time-of-Flight (ToF) or structured light. However, 3D cameras can be costly for mass deployment, and the accuracy may degrade in the complex production environment.

Therefore, the design of efficient edge detection and deviation rectification for IXPE production requires: 1) *Accurate*: the average error should be below 5mm, 2) *Time-efficient*: the average response



Figure 1: Illustration of Edge-Eye in practical production line

time should be below 200ms, and 3) *Robust*: being able to perform the edge detection for different colors of IXPE sheets.

1.3 Proposed Approach

In this paper, we propose *Edge-Eye*, a camera-enabled IoT edge device to automatically rectify the edge deviation for IXPE production with millimeter-level accuracy. Specifically, we use an ordinary camera running on the ARM64 platform as the Mobile Edge Node (MEN), as shown in Figure 1. To detect the edge deviation, we adaptively extract the *Minimized Region of Interest* (mROI) to reduce the computing cost. Further, we propose a *Super-Resolution-based Upsampling method* to construct a higher resolution image with edge points in finer granularity. Then, we use a *Bi-direction Edge Tracking method* to achieve the highly accurate and reliable edge detection. To rectify the edge deviation, we propose a negative feedback control scheme with multi-stage feedback regulation to minimize the edge deviation to *millimeter-level*.

1.4 Challenges

There are two technical challenges in this paper. The first challenge is to accurately extract the edges of IXPE sheets from the image captured by the camera in a *robust* manner. Since the color of IXPE sheets may vary over time, it could be very close to the background color of furnace. The color similarity between the sheet and the background would cause the significant accuracy degradation for edge detection. To address this challenge, we deploy a pair of reference bars with high-contrast colors, i.e., white and black, under the conveyor belt, as shown in Figure 1. In this way, the white bar and black bar will be used as auxiliary references to reduce the complex interference from background. Depending on the IXPE sheet color, Edge-Eye selects either the white bar or black bar to produce the best color contrast. Then, we propose a Bi-Direction-based Edge Tracking method to perform the edge detection vertically and horizontally. In the vertical direction, we detect the boundary between the IXPE sheet and selected bar; in the horizontal direction, we detect the leftmost or rightmost point for the uncovered part of selected bar. We fuse the two orthogonal results into a complimentary filter to figure out a more accurate edge position. In this way, we can guarantee the high accuracy and robustness by performing the bi-direction edge tracking with the high-contrast reference bars.

The second challenge is to monitor and rectify the edge deviation by only using the mobile edge device with limited computing resources in a *time-efficient* manner. The time delay includes the sensing delay and the control delay. The sensing delay comes from detecting and tracking the edge, while the control delay comes from rectifying the edge deviation. To address this challenge, for the sensing delay, we propose to extract the mROI, i.e., the edge area overlapped with the higher contrast reference bar on both sides. Moreover, the continuous edge detection usually consumes more computing resources, so we use the cache-pool-based method to reduce the repetitive computation. When the difference between the current frame and the cache frame is below a threshold, we directly reuse the previous results, otherwise, the current frame is set as the new cache frame, and the edge detection results are updated accordingly. In this way, the computing resources can be greatly reduced by shrinking the ROI in the space domain and reusing the edge detection results in the time domain. While for the control delay, the edge deviation rectification is done by changing the rolling speed of the left or right spreader rolls. However, it is quite difficult to figure out the uncertain relationship between the edge deviation and the rolling speed. Thus, we propose a negative feedback control scheme, to formulate this relationship as a linear model on a small scale. When an edge deviation is detected, we use this model to calculate the speed change of spreader rolls and rectify this deviation until the edge goes back to the standard position. Moreover, the linear model is dynamically updated with the multi-stage feedback regulation mechanism.

1.5 Contributions

This paper makes the following contributions. First, we propose Edge-Eye, a millimeter-level edge deviation rectification system. To the best of our knowledge, Edge-Eye is the first system which uses computer vision and negative feedback control to rectify the edge deviation for IXPE production. Second, we propose an efficient edge deviation detection method, by incorporating a pair of high-contrast reference bars, mROI extraction and bi-direction edge tracking, to achieve the high accuracy, real-time response and robustness in the industrial production. Moreover, we design a negative feedback control system, and propose the multi-stage feedback regulation mechanism to rapidly and accurately make control decisions. Third, we implemented *Edge-Eve* and evaluated its performance in real IXPE production lines. Experimental results show that we achieve an average accuracy of 5mm for edge deviation rectification, and an average latency of 200ms for edge deviation detection. During the process of 20-month real deployment for 36 production lines, 66 manpower per day (90% of the overall manpower) has been saved, and the utilization rate of the IXPE material increases from 87% to 94%, indicating *Edge-Eye* can effectively reduce the material waste.

2 RELATED WORK

2.1 Distance-based Edge Detection

Since the target and other objects are in different planes, calculating the distance of all objects can accurately find the contour edge of target. Laser ranging accurately calculates the object distance by RTT method [20] but suffers the single point measurement and accuracy decrease through glass. Millimeter wave radar measures the FM continuous wave difference between TX and RX for distance calculation [19]. However, the angular resolution limitation makes it not suitable for the material edge detection. Moreover, the



(a) IXPE material production line: from raw material sheet to production sheet





(b) Complicated background due to IXPE sheets with different colors



Figure 2: IXPE production process and unsatisfied performance of traditional edge operators

complex environment with serious multipath effect leads to low accuracy and high time latency [7] for the mmWave-based solutions. State-of-the-art technology, e.g., the 3D camera, can detect the edge position of an object by calculating the depth information for each pixel. Time-of-Flight (ToF) camera calculates the object depth by measuring the round trip time of an artificial light signal provided by a laser [16], but it suffers the same problem with laser ranging, e.g., accuracy decreases through glass and the edge blurs due to large scan intervals. Structured light camera uses the deformation principle to calculate the depth information, by analyzing the projection shift when the light hits the uneven surface of object [4]. This method suffers huge interference in strong light environment, the projected structured light can be easily submerged by strong light. Moreover, the accuracy decreases greatly when the object is 1m away from the camera. Stereo camera uses the disparity to calculate object depth information by a pair of 2D cameras. However, it requires high computing resource and produces large error when environment is monotonous and lack of texture [11]. Therefore, in addition to the high hardware cost, different kinds of 3D cameras have their own limitation, making it unsuitable to use 3D cameras for the sheet edge detection in IXPE production.

2.2 2D Camera-based Edge Detection

The edge is an inherent property of object, which usually has a sharp change of color around in a digital image. There has been a wide range of approaches to extract edges in images captured by 2D cameras. Edge detection aims to find the discontinuities of digital images, by finding the image points with great gradient [13].

Traditional Edge Detection Methods: Basic edge detection filters such as Sobel, Prewitt and Roberts calculate edge points by directly evaluating the pixel value difference of adjacent points in grayscale images [6, 10, 17], but such methods have fatal limitations of noise pollution and rough edges. Advanced edge detection operators,



(a) Captured image



(b) High value for double threshold



(c) Low value for double threshold **Figure 3:** Example of Canny operator

e.g., Canny operator and Marr-Hildreth operator, achieve high performance on edge points calculation. The former is a multi-stage algorithm [3], which uses image smoothing, intensity gradients calculation, double threshold and hysteresis to find the optimal edges in image. The latter uses second derivative and zero crossing to find edge points, but it is noise sensitive due to the second derivative process [13]. Both operators extract all possible edge points by relying on the original contrast of edge itself, however, they suffer from low material sheet edge extraction performance when similar color appears between the sheet and background.

CNN-based Edge Detection Methods: With the popularity of Convolution Neural Networks (CNN), edge detection has been revisited and new solutions are proposed with neural networks [2, 12, 21]. Deepedge [2] designs a multi-scale deep network to achieve contour detection by using the object-related features as high-level cues. Maire et al. [12] use the generic deep sparse code to recognize specific targets, thus achieving target edge detection. Holisticallynested edge detection (HED) [21] uses the image-to-image training method to construct the representation network of original images and predicts edges. Casenet [22] uses ResNet and skip-layer architecture to realize category-aware semantic edge detection. Poma et al. [15] propose thin edge-maps extraction by adding an upsample block in Dense Extreme Inception Network. Although CNN-based solutions can be more accurate than traditional methods, they consume more computing resources and additional expenditure on neural network training and storage. In addition, when the color of the material sheet is similar to the background, their edge extraction performance is still not high.

3 PRELIMINARY

The foaming technology has been used in modern manufacturing to heat raw materials and form the required shape or size for end products. As shown in Figure 2(a), the raw material sheet is first sent into the horizontal furnace (with a temperature of $70-85^{\circ}$ C)



Figure 4: System deployment

for preheating, then goes into in the vertical furnace (with a temperature of 200-300°C) for softening, widening and stretching into the required size. Finally, the sheet is rolled up and packed as the finished sheet ready for shipment. The color of sheet can be various (in Figure 2(b)), leading to a more complicated background for edge detection. Moreover, due to the uneven thickness of the raw material sheet, the width of finished sheet may be different from the required size, i.e., edge deviation. Without the proper rectification, such deviation may accumulate rapidly, resulting that more edges have to be trimmed away and hence more materials will be wasted. Traditional solutions rely on the human supervision, as shown in Figure 2(c). An operator monitors sheet edges and adjusts the rotation speed of spreader rolls to align the edge. This solution typically has low accuracy in edge detection (5cm) and large response time (1000ms). Besides, it is difficult to train operators and assure quality for long-term production. Therefore, the challenge of automatic rectification is how to detect edge position accurately and timely with limited computing resources in a complicated background.

As one of the best operators for edge detection, Canny operator extracts optimal edge points by double threshold (H_{high}, H_{low}) method [3]. Specifically, after calculating the gradient value of each edge pixel, the value higher than H_{high} is marked as a strong edge pixel, and the value lower than H_{low} gets suppressed. If the value is between H_{low} and H_{high} , it is marked as a weak edge pixel and turns to a strong edge pixel if connected with an original strong edge pixel, otherwise the weak edge pixel gets suppressed. Finally, all the strong edge pixels get output as edge points. However, Canny operator with fine-tuned parameters does not achieve the satisfactory performance on sheet edge extraction in following aspects.

1) Accuracy and Robustness: It is unable to achieve the accurate and robust edge detection performance just by adjusting parameters in Canny operator, not to say other less accurate edge detection methods. Specifically, Figure 3(a) shows the ground truth of sheet edge position with a similar color in the background. With a high value for double threshold, Canny operator suppresses target edge points (the black dashed lines), resulting in the inaccurate edge position calculation in Figure 3(b). While with a low value for double threshold, the result in Figure 3(c) gives more interfered edges, e.g., interfered edges around target edge lines and black dashed lines have similar property with target edge lines,leading to poor accuracy and failure.

2) *Time-efficiency:* The edge deviation rectification for material sheet production requires real-time response, i.e., less than 200ms. Traditional edge detection methods fail to meet this requirement as shown in Figure 2(d). For Canny operator with Houghlines (HL)



Figure 5: System overview

detection, when the frame size reduces from 1920×1080 to 640×480, the time delay reduces from 1400ms to 360ms. Other edge operators even require larger processing time. Moreover, the low resolution image is not conducive to manual re-examination.

The above observations motivate several ideas in designing an accurate and time-efficient edge detection, which are summarized as follows: 1) To enhance accuracy, we should fuse the results from multiple views; 2) To improve robustness, we should enhance the contrast between the sheet and background; 3) To achieve time-efficiency, we should focus on the mROI instead of the full frame.

4 SYSTEM DESIGN

System Deployment: Figure 4 illustrates the deployment of *Edge-Eve*. We deploy a camera in front of the vertical heating furnace at a distance of 1.2m from the target material sheet. Without loss of generality, the camera has a wide-angle lens of 120° with video quality of 1080p/30fps, thus each pixel of the image frame represents a width of 1.87mm on the sheet plane. The images obtained from the camera contain much noise due to the color similarity between the sheet and the background. To minimize background noise, we use a pair of reference bars to generate high edge contrast between the material sheet and the background, while narrowing the observation range to a relatively clean and controllable area. Since the edge calculation relies on the difference of colors in the image, which can be transformed to the distance of gray-scale image. Here, we use the max/min gray value (0 and 255), which are exactly the white and black color. As a result, either of the two bars (W Bar and B Bar) can always have a color difference no less than 255/2. To reduce the interference of light reflection in the recognition area, we set a shading plate in front of the camera to maintain a stable ambient light. We combine the camera with the ARM64 computing platform as our mobile edge node to detect sheet edge with fast response and low transmission delay.

Software Framework: Figure 5 shows the modules of Edge-Eye. Specifically, 1) Availability Detection module checks whether any sheet is in production. This is done by comparing the difference between the current frame and the empty frame. 2) Perspective Transformation module corrects image distortion of captured frame and obtains the straight sheet edge. If the system is first running, i.e., no mROI is extracted, we run the preprocessing module to finish the initialization. 3) Preprocessing module selects the reference bar and extracts the mROI. It selects the highest contrast reference bar from W Bar and B Bar according to sheet color, and extracts the area near the edge of material sheet as mROI to reduce the computational overhead. Besides, it sets cached mROI to further speed



Figure 6: Material availability detection around reference bars. Left: Frames in detection area (*frame 3 = frame 1 - frame 2*), Right: RGB distribution of: ① frame 3, ② difference frame between empty frames

up the detection of sheet edge. 4) Edge Detection module generates the super-resolution image for mROI, and provides the accurate sheet edge position by the bi-direction edge tracking method with abnormal detection. First, it uses the Fast Efficient Sub-Pixel Convolutional Neural Network (Fast-ESPCN) to build the super-resolution image from the original low-resolution image on this specific ROI area, thereby generating more edge points and finer grit description for material sheet. Second, it calculates the edge points and divides those points into background edge points and material sheet related edge points. Third, it tracks the sheet edge in vertical direction and uncovered part of reference bar in horizontal direction separately, and fuses two recognition results through the complimentary filter. Then, it detects and repairs abnormal results to realize high-precision edge position recognition. 5) Deviation Rectification module rectifies the edge deviation and adjusts sheet edge to the standard position. It uses the negative feedback control method to make a rapid and appropriate decision. We apply a linear model to depict the relationship between the sheet position and the speed of spreader rolls, thus we can keep sheet edge deviation within the standard range by adjusting the roll speed efficiently. We then use the multi-stage feedback regulation mechanism to dynamically adjust the parameters of the linear model, achieving a smaller deviation jitter range and shorter rectification time.

4.1 Availability Detection

The system should be triggered only when the IXPE production is in progress. To perform the material availability detection, we use the frame difference method to verify whether there exists material sheet on the conveyor. Specifically, we set up a detection area in the center of reference bars. As shown in Figure 6(a), we record an empty background frame as the empty frame, and calculate the difference between the current frame and the empty frame. We thus perform analysis on the RGB distribution of the difference frame. As shown in Figure 6(b), we can find that, in regard to RGB distribution, the difference between the empty frames is small, whereas the difference between the empty frame and the production frame is quite large. Therefore, we use the entropy of difference frame to determine whether there exists material sheet in production. Specifically, we calculate the entropy of R, G, and B channels in the difference frame F, respectively. Taking channel R as an example, we use h_i to denote the total number of pixels with value *i* in channel R, and use n to denote the number of different values of i in this channel. We use p_i to represent the ratio of pixels with value *i* to all pixels in channel R, thus $p_i = h_i / (\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} h_j)$. Then, the



Figure 7: Perspective transformation for captured frame

entropy value H(F), which describes the information of channel R in the difference frame F, can be calculated as follows:

$$H(F) = -\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p_i \log p_i$$
 (1)

To reduce the complexity in the calculation, we convert the RGB difference frame into a gray-scale image and reduce the value range from (0,255) to (0,16) by dividing point value by 16. Then we calculate the entropy of this simplified gray-scale image. To enhance environmental adaptability, we set two thresholds, H_{update} and $H_{material}$. For each periodic interval, e.g., 1 minute, when the H(G) of current frame is less than H_{update} , we update the empty frame with the current frame. When H(G) of the current frame is greater than $H_{material}$, it can be determined that the material sheet is in production and the edge detection should be started.

4.2 Perspective Transformation

A camera usually suffers the lens distortion when capturing images in real complex environment. Since the camera is deployed close to the sheet, this distortion will make the edge in captured frame bend seriously, which brings great interference to detection accuracy. Fortunately, the distortion is an inherent property of camera and all frames captured by one camera can be calibrated with the same calibration parameters. Therefore, before the camera is deployed, we use the chessboard-based calibration method to calculate parameters and correct distortion [9]. Specifically, the transformation relationship between distortion coordinate (x_c, y_c) and correction coordinate (x_p, y_p) is shown in Eq. (2), where $r^2 = x_p^2 + y_p^2$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_c \\ y_c \end{bmatrix} = (1+k_1r^2+k_2r^4+k_3r^6) \begin{bmatrix} x_p \\ y_p \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 2p_1x_py_p + p_2(r^2+2x_p^2) \\ 2p_2x_py_p + p_1(r^2+2x_p^2) \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

The parameters k_1 , k_2 , k_3 and p_1 , p_2 represent the distortion factor, which can be calculated by the following steps: 1) use the camera to take photos of the chessboard from various angles, 2) search the corners of black and white boxes on those chessboard photos, 3) calculate the correspondence between the corners in the image and the real world, and generate spatial points in world coordinates, 4) calculate the corresponding camera parameters (k_1 , k_2 , k_3 and p_1 , p_2) for camera calibration. Figure 7 shows an example of perspective transformation on the captured distorted frame.

4.3 Preprocessing

4.3.1 Reference Bar Selection. To obtain the highest image gradient at the edge of material sheet, we select the bar with higher contrast from W Bar or B Bar. Specifically, we use the following steps to calculate the score of each reference bar and select the one with higher score. First, we convert the RGB frame into a gray-scale image using Eq. (3), where B, G, R are the values of Blue, Green, and Red for each pixel in this RGB frame.

$$G_r = 0.114 \times B + 0.587 \times G + 0.2989 \times R \tag{3}$$

Second, we make convolution with image f using Sobel Filter in Eq. (4) and derive the gradient along *x*-axis (horizontal direction).

$$S_X = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix}$$
(4)

Third, we use a sliding window of size $m \times n$ to scan the gradient image with the step of η pixels, and calculate the maximum value of each row in the sliding window as the gradient list of size $1 \times n$. Note that, the boundary between the material sheet and the reference bar generally corresponds to the area with high gradient values, hence we can determine the boundary area by comparing gradient lists of different sliding windows. Since the sheet has two edges, i.e., left edge and right edge, we search for the left and right boundary areas for each bar, respectively. Denote the average and standard deviation (std) of each gradient list as μ and σ . We first select the sliding window with largest μ , and remove all overlapping windows. Then we select the second window with largest μ among the remaining. Actually, the two windows contain the left edge and right edge, separately. Assume the average and std of the two windows for one bar are μ_1, σ_1 and μ_2, σ_2 , respectively. Thus, the score of one bar is calculated as:

$$s = \mu_1 + \mu_2 - |\mu_1 - \mu_2| - \ln(\sigma_1 + 1)(\sigma_2 + 1)$$
(5)

The bar with higher score will be selected for further analysis.

4.3.2 *Contrast Enhancement.* To reduce the random interference from ambient noise and improve the quality of edge extraction, we perform the image enhancement for the boundary area of selected bar. Specifically, for the corresponding two windows selected above, we apply the Laplacian filter to highlight the area of boundaries.

4.3.3 mROI Extraction. To achieve time efficiency in edge detection on MEN with limited computing resources, we extract the mROI from the selected reference bar area. By removing the edge irrelevant areas, we are able to reduce recognition area by over 100 times compared with the full frame, as shown in Figure 8. According to the continuity of sheet movement, we observe that the edge position changes slightly between adjacent frames. Thus we use the previous edge position to determine current mROI. After obtaining the left and right edge position $(x_l(t-1), x_r(t-1))$ of the previous frame, we can extract the corresponding mROI areas of both edges for current frame as follows. Specifically, since the edge of reference bar helps determine the sheet edge, we set the height of mROI to a bit larger than the height of reference bar, so as to ensure that the extracted area contains the edge of reference bar. Meanwhile, the width of mROI depends on two factors: the edge position in the previous frame, i.e., $x_l(t-1)$ for left mROI and



Figure 8: mROI extraction

 $x_r(t-1)$ for right mROI, and the searching range of $2x_\delta$. Moreover, we extend the edge of mROI at the bar side with additional length of τ_x , to guarantee that enough reference bar and material edges are inside the mROI. In this way, we can improve the time-efficiency in the space domain.

4.3.4 Frame Caching. During the actual production process, due to the continuity of sheet movement, the recognition result of adjacent frames in the mROI usually tends to be consistent. Much time could be wasted in recognizing these frames repeatedly. Therefore, to achieve time efficiency, we propose cache-pool-based method to avoid the duplicated edge detection and further speed up the recognition process. Specifically, this pool records a fixed number of frames in the mROI. We use the Least Recently Used (LRU) based method [14] to update the cache pool. We define the cache hit as the entropy value of the difference frame between the current frame and the cached frame. If the entropy value is less than the skip threshold, the cache hit is successful. We then use the recognition result for the cached frame to skip the complicated edge detection. Otherwise, we start the normal edge detection process, and use the corresponding recognition result of the current frame to update the least recently used one in the cache pool. In this way, we can further improve the time-efficiency in the time domain.

4.4 Edge Detection

4.4.1 Image Upsampling. Compared with low-resolution images, high-resolution images provide more details in texture and help to improve the accuracy in edge detection. For example, the resolution in the 4K image is four times higher than that of 1080p image. However, it is unaffordable for the limited computing platform MEN to capture and recognize the original 4K resolution frames, along with providing the Real-Time Messaging Protocol (RTMP) media service. Besides, the cost of a camera that supports 4K resolution is over four times larger than that of an ordinary 1080p camera. Therefore, according to the frame in the mROI captured by an ordinary 1080p camera, we leverage the *Fast-ESPCN upsampling* technology to generate a super resolution image. Compared with recognition on original 4K frame, our method can achieve the equivalent edge detection accuracy but require much less recognition time and fewer computing resources.

Fast-ESPCN Structure Design. Inspired from the ESPCN model [5], we adjust some layers to achieve the fast speed of training and inference, as shown in Figure 9. For the frame in mROI with size $H \times W$, we use the growth type of hidden layers to get a v^2 (upsample factor) channels feature map with the same size. Then, a



Figure 9: Fast-ESPCN method takes the mROI image (low resolution) as input, and outputs the SR (super resolution) image



Figure 10: Training/ Validation performance of Fast-ESPCN

sub-pixel convolution layer is used to reshape the $H \times W \times v^2$ feature map to high resolution frame with size $vH \times vW \times 1$. Empirically, we set v = 2 to upsample the 1080p resolution image to 4K.

Training and Validation. In the training stage, we first collect the original distorted 4K frames, and use the perspective transformation to get calibrated 4K frames as ground-truth. Then, we resize the calibrated frames to 1080p resolution as input. An NVIDIA GPU is used to complete the model training in offline mode, then MEN runs this model to upsample the mROI from 1080p low-resolution image to 4K high-resolution image. Figure 10 shows the benchmark performance of Fast-ESPCN module. With the training loss of 0.042 and validation Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio (PSNR) of 26.3, our model achieves fast training convergence speed and high quality in image reconstruction. The results show that the Fast-ESPCN method achieves average processing time of 10.3ms with a standard deviation of 4ms, and the single CPU core utilization rate is 50%. Compared with the original downward type of hidden layers (105ms average processing time and 27.1 PSNR), with the same upscale factor (v = 2), our method achieves similar PSNR error but runs 10 times faster than the former.

4.4.2 Edge Point Extraction. With the high contrast between the material sheet and reference bar, the edge detection operators, e.g., the Canny operator with fine-tuned parameters can achieve good performance on the edge point extraction, as shown in Figure 11. However, it is actually difficult to fine-tune parameters for each sheet color, and there are no static parameters that apply to all sheet colors. Based on this understanding, we aim to adaptively adjust parameters for Canny operator to accurately extract the two vertical lines for edge detection in the recognition area. Therefore, as mentioned in Section 3, since the double threshold of Canny operator, i.e., H_{low} and H_{high} , is very crucial to the edge detection, it is essential to obtain optimized values for the two parameters to improve the performance. We observe that, as shown in Figure 8, for both the left and right edges of the material sheet in the mROI,



Figure 11: Pre-study for Canny operator: (a) edge extraction performance for double threshold in Canny operator, (b) ① original mROI frame, ② large thresholds obtain fewer edge points, ③ appropriate thresholds obtain satisfying edge points, ④ small thresholds obtain noisy edge points



Figure 12: Separate static and moving edge points for current image frame: (a) original mROI frame, (b) extracted edge points, (c) separated static edge points, (d) separated moving edge points

they form a line with length no less than the height of mROI, respectively. We can use this property to evaluate whether the extracted edge points are satisfied for edge detection. Specifically, we set large values for (H_{high}, H_{low}) initially, it enables us to extract left and right vertical line for the edge detection, where both lines have a small number of edge points to start with. Then, we iteratively update the values of (H_{high}, H_{low}) by step $(-H_u, -2H_u)$, and evaluate whether the extracted edge points form two major lines which are long enough. The iteration keeps running until the number of extracted edge points is greater than a certain threshold τ .

After fine-tuning the parameters of Canny operator, we extract all candidate edge points, including the background-related edge points and the sheet-related edge points. Thus, it is essential to separate the sheet-related edge points from the backgroundrelated edge points. We observe that, during the production process, only the sheet-related edge points move side to side, whereas the background-related edge points keep static. Therefore, we can divide all candidate edge points into static points, i.e., backgroundrelated edge points, and moving points, i.e., sheet-related edge points, from a time-domain perspective. Based on this understanding, we can calculate the intersection of edge points in multiple frames to extract the static points S_s , which keep static across multiple frames. Specifically, suppose the candidate edge points calculated by the Canny operator is S_i for each frame *i*, then, for the previous k frames, we define the common background edge points S_u as follows: $S_u = S_{i-k} \cap S_{i-k+1} \dots \cap S_{i-1}$. After that, the static points S_s can be calculated as follows: $S_s = S_u \cap S_i$. Then, the moving points for each frame *i* can be calculated by subtracting the static points S_s from the candidate edge points, i.e., $S_m = S_i - S_s$. This separation results are shown in Figure 12. Herein, the moving points S_m contain both the edge points of sheet as well as the occasionally blocked edge points of reference bar.

4.4.3 *Bi-direction Edge Tracking.* After obtaining the edge points of sheet, we can perform the edge tracking for each frame by searching vertical lines according to the extracted edge points. However,

Algorithm 1: Bi-direction Edge Tracking

Input: S_i : edge points set for current frame i; S_u , S_s , S_m : common background edge points, separated static points and moving points for S_i ; x(t - 1): previous position of left/right sheet edge; τ_v : threshold for vertical line length;

Output: x(t): current left/right sheet edge position;

- 1 Vertical Line Tracking:
- ² Project S_m on *y*-axis as Y_m , count the number N of Y_m ;
- 3 if $N < \tau_v$ then
- 4 **for** p = (x, y) in S_m **do**

5 **if** $p' = (x \pm 1, y - 1)$ in S_s then $S_m = S_m \cup p'$;

- 6 end
- 7 end
- 8 Find an optical vertical line in S_m , calculate $x_v(t)$;
- 9 Horizontal Line Tracking:
- 10 if $size(S_u \cap S_i) < size(S_u)$ then Find optical horizontal lines in S_s , calculate $x_h(t)$;
- 11 **else** Find optical horizontal lines in S_m , calculate $x_h(t)$;
- 12 Bi-direction Fusion:
- 13 $x(t) = fusion(x_v(t), x_h(t), x(t-1));$
- 14 return x(t).

the edge tracking in a single direction, e.g., searching for edges in the vertical direction, is susceptible to the interference from ambient noise and may lead to the inaccurate recognition result. To improve the accuracy and robustness for edge tracking, we propose a *Bi-direction Edge Tracking* method. As shown in Algorithm 1, the algorithm is composed of three parts, i.e., *vertical edge tracking for material sheet*, *horizontal edge tracking for reference bar* and *bidirection fusion*. After extracting edge points, we divide each set of edge points into left-edge-points and right-edge-points according to coordinates in the *x*-axis. Without loss of generality, we take one side of edge points as an example to show the detailed algorithm design of *Bi-direction Edge Tracking*.

Vertical Edge Tracking: In the vertical edge tracking, we aim to find a vertical line to accurately estimate the edge position of sheet, according to the extracted moving points S_m . However, when the sheet edge moves from side to side during the production process, the edge points of sheet can coincide with the static background edge points, resulting in part of missing sheet edge points among the extracted moving points S_m when performing $S_m = S_i - S_s$. Therefore, to identify the vertical line corresponding to the sheet edge, we need to verify and search for missing sheet edge points. Specifically, to verify if there exist missing sheet edge points in the vertical direction, we first project the moving points on y-axis and count the cardinality of unique projected points. If the cardinality is less than a threshold τ_v , we then use the static points vertically adjacent to the moving points to recover the originally missing sheet edge points. After that, we check all vertical lines with length greater than threshold τ_v from the updated moving points S_m , and identify an optimal vertical line to denote the sheet edge $x_v(t)$.

Horizontal Edge Tracking: In the horizontal edge tracking, we aim to find a leftmost or rightmost point of selected reference bar



Figure 13: Horizontal edge tracking for selected reference bar: (a) original frame of left mROI, (b) edge points from original frame, (c) sheet moves toward center, (d) sheet moves away from center

to accurately estimate the edge position of sheet. Herein, the leftmost or rightmost point corresponds to the boundary between the reference bar and the sheet, respectively. In principle, the leftmost or rightmost point can be identified from the static edge points S_s . However, when the sheet edge moves from side to side as shown in Figure 13, the static edge points of selected reference bar can be occasionally blocked by the sheet, causing the leftmost or rightmost point to be possibly categorized to moving points. Therefore, we need to further identify the leftmost or rightmost point from either the static points or moving points. Specifically, when the sheet edge moves towards the center position, more points of selected reference bar can be extracted. In this situation, we search the horizontal line from S_m and use the leftmost/rightmost point of the line as the recognition result. When the material sheet moves away from the center position, fewer points of reference bar get extracted. In this situation, for the current frame *i*, we search the horizontal line from S_s , and use the leftmost/rightmost point of the line as the recognition result $x_h(t)$.

Bi-direction Fusion: According to the recognition results from *Vertical Edge Tracking* and *Horizontal Edge Tracking*, it is difficult to determine which one is more accurate and reliable when the two relatively independent results are different. Considering the continuity of sheet movement, we give different weights on current horizontal/vertical edge tracking results. Specifically, we set the weight according to the difference between the previous fused result and the current horizontal/vertical edge tracking results. In the complimentary filter, the one with closer distance to the previous fused result will have higher weight, as shown in Eq. (6).

$$x(t) = \frac{|x_h(t) - x(t-1)| \times x_v(t) + |x_v(t) - x(t-1)| \times x_h(t)}{|x_v(t) - x(t-1)| + |x_h(t) - x(t-1)|}$$
(6)

Herein, x(t) and x(t-1) denote the fused results at current time tand previous time t-1, respectively. $x_v(t)$ and $x_h(t)$ denote the recognition results from vertical direction and horizontal direction at time t. The weight of current horizontal edge tracking result $x_h(t)$ is $|x_v(t) - x(t-1)|$, which is the difference between current vertical edge tracking result and previous fused result, and the weight of current vertical edge tracking result $x_v(t)$ can be calculated similarly. Based on the complimentary filter, we can derive the accurate result with stable and smooth property in the time-domain.

4.5 Deviation Rectification

The edge deviation appears when the detected edge position is not at the standard position. Once the edge deviation exceeds a certain threshold, it is essential to efficiently rectify the sheet edge deviation. Traditionally, the edge deviation rectification is conducted manually. After the material sheet expands to the product size, the workers will adjust the rolling speed of stretching devices, i.e., the left and right spreader rolls, to rectify the sheet edge deviation when necessary and keep the sheet edge at the standard position. For example, when the edge position deviates to the left side of standard position, the workers try to gradually increase the rolling speed of left spreader rolls or reduce the rolling speed of right spreader rolls, until the sheet edge returns to the standard position. The adjustment of rolling speed is purely determined by human experience. However, to perform the edge deviation rectification automatically, it is rather difficult to figure out the uncertain relationship between edge deviation and rolling speed. Thus, we propose a negative feedback control scheme, which formulates this relationship as a linear model on a small scale.

Specifically, to achieve time-efficiency in the edge deviation rectification, we use the linear model to describe the relationship between the rolling speed of spreader rolls and the sheet edge deviation, as shown in Eq. (7).

$$\alpha \times f_l(t) - \beta \times f_r(t) = \gamma \times (x(t) - x_s) \tag{7}$$

Here, f_l and f_r denote the frequency of electric motor for the left and right spreader rolls, respectively, which are linearly related to the rolling speed of spreader rolls. x(t) and x_s denote the current edge position and the standard edge position, respectively. α , β , and y are the ratio factors measured during the production process. According to this model, we can adjust the parameters of stretching devices, i.e., f_l and f_r , and rectify the sheet edge to the standard position. However, in the real deployment, these parameters α , β , γ do not only vary among different production lines, but also change with the conveyor speed and the rolling speed of spreader rolls. This leads to the sheet edge drift on the standard position. Moreover, the time delay in the edge position recognition and round-trip feedback further amplifies the jitters of sheet edge alignment. To tackle these issues, we propose the *multi-stage feedback regulation*, which adaptively adjusts the parameters and smooths the results to obtain the stronger delay tolerance and better rectification performance.

In the negative feedback control, we first calculate the smoothed edge position $\hat{x}(t)$ from the current recognition result and the previous *k* recognition results, i.e., x(t-k), ..., x(t). Then, we check whether the edge position deviates from the standard range. If not, we maintain the last control parameters f_l and f_r . Otherwise, we start the deviation rectification by changing f_l and f_r to adjust sheet position movement Δx . According to Eq. (7), given a sheet position movement $\Delta \hat{x}(t) = \hat{x}(t) - \hat{x}(t-1)$, to minimize the change for control parameters f_l and f_r in both left side and right side, we use the Minimum Mean Square Error (MMSE) method to compute optimal values of $f_l(t)$ and $f_r(t)$ as follows:

$$\arg \min_{f_{l}(t), f_{r}(t)} (|f_{l}(t) - f_{l}(t-1)| + |f_{r}(t) - f_{r}(t-1)|)$$

subject to
$$\gamma \times \Delta \widehat{x}(t) = \alpha \times (f_{l}(t) - f_{l}(t-1)) - \beta \times (f_{r}(t) - f_{r}(t-1))$$
(8)

Considering that α , β , γ change with the conveyor speed and the rolling speed of spreader rolls from time to time, we need to dynamically update α , β , γ along with time. According to Eq. (7), we observe that the value of γ is linear to α and β , so we only need to update γ in an equivalent manner. Therefore, after figuring out the optimal values of $f_l^*(t)$ and $f_r^*(t)$, for the next time slot t + 1, given the sheet position movement $\Delta x(t + 1)$, we can further use the two

optimal values to update the parameter γ as follows:

$$\gamma^* = \frac{1}{\Delta \widehat{x}(t+1)} (\alpha \times (f_l^*(t) - f_l(t-1)) - \beta \times (f_r^*(t) - f_r(t-1))).$$
(9)

In this way, we can perform the negative feedback control scheme by dynamically adjusting the parameters of the model, while achieving time-efficiency and adaptivity in dynamic environments.

4.6 Summary

Edge-Eye focuses on providing a deviation rectification system for the real IXPE production, which satisfies high accuracy, timeefficiency and robustness in the limited computing platform. To improve the sensing accuracy in the real scenario, *Perspective Transformation* is used to calibrate the distortion of lens, and *Bi-direction Edge Tracking* is used to detect the edge positions based on the superresolution image after unsampling. To achieve the time-efficiency, *Reference Bar Selection* and *mROI Extraction* are proposed to reduce the recognition area, and *Frame Caching* is used to skip the recognition of duplicated frames. To achieve the robust performance of deviation rectification, *Negative Feedback Control* with dynamic parameters is proposed to adapt to the dynamic and noisy environments and make the rapid and accurate command response.

5 PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

5.1 Implementation

Hardware: We have fully implemented Edge-Eye and deployed the system in the foaming production line at a local factory. We describe the system deployment and our evaluation setup and report the performance results from a series of experiments. We use industrygrade alloy as reference bars because the natural color of alloy is highly resistant to high temperature. We deploy two reference bars (i.e., W Bar and B Bar) in the central position inside the vertical heating furnace. A shading plate is placed in front of the recognition area to reduce the light reflection. We deploy a camera (LT-OV2710 of BlueSky Tech) in front of the vertical heating furnace with a distance of 1.2m from the target material sheet plane. The camera has a wide-angle lens of 120° and its video quality of 1080p/30fps. Thus, one pixel of the image frame captured by this camera represents the width of 1.87mm on the material sheet plane. We combine the camera with an ARM64 computing platform (Raspberry Pi 4 with 2GB RAM and 64GB ROM) in MEN to accomplish the on-device sheet edge detection task. A Programmable Logic Controller (PLC) server receives the recognition result from MEN through Modbus protocol and makes rectification commands for edge deviation. Since the PLC server collects each production line information in 5Hz, a consistent update frequency contributes to the delivery of control command. Therefore, the time of edge detection needs to be controlled below 200ms.

Setup: Our IXPE products have 11 different colors, divided into 7 major kinds. For each color, there exist 3 different sizes. For each sheet type, we collect 1 hour of production data at the beginning (Init), 2 hours in the middle (Mid), and 1 hour in the end (End). With 11×3 different types, we obtain 11×3×4 hours of data in total.

Metrics: For edge detection, we use the recognition accuracy and latency. For deviation rectification, we use the sheet edge alignment error which is highly influenced by the detection performance. For



Figure 14: Evaluation of recognition performance with different settings

utilization of raw material sheets, we use the ratio of product weight after getting cut and aligned to raw material weight, which depends on the performance of edge alignment.

5.2 Evaluation of Edge Position Recognition

The traditional manual method can identify the sheet edge position of any material. However, in the long-term production, the average recognition accuracy may decrease to 5cm, resulting in the poor edge alignment. We compare our solution with other classic edge detection methods. The Canny operator with Houghlines detection is taken as the baseline. We also deploy the camera that supports 4K resolution to evaluate the recognition difference between SR 4K resolution frames and original 4K resolution frames. After each method produces its result, we check the pixels near the result position to see if the difference matches the value of current sheet color and reference bar color, and this is used as the ground-truth.

5.2.1 Unrecognition Rate. In actual production, unavoidable interruption (e.g., material sheet expands or extra rod pulls sheet) may occur, causing the failure of edge detection. We define these cases as unrecognition results when the edge detection method gives no edge position or the recognition result has a large distance with the ground-truth, e.g., over 20mm. By calculating the ratio of unrecognition time to full production time, we evaluate the recognition robustness for each recognition method. As shown in Figure 14, Edge-Eye achieves an unrecognition rate of 1.04%, and the main unrecognition time is in the initialization stage where material sheet expands and width changes rapidly with extra rod appearance. Since the human worker rectifies the edge deviation during the initialization stage, the unrecognition rate of Edge-Eye has no influence on auto rectification performance. Classic Canny operator achieves an unrecognition rate of 21.6% on average, and most of the unrecognition cases occur during the auto rectification stage. Interestingly, when we use Classic Canny operator to process the ROI area of reference bars instead of full frame, the unrecognition rate decreases to 8.3% which shows a high contribution of the reference bars. We also find the unrecognition rate of the black color material sheet is the lowest among all colors. It comes from the large contrast with the original background, which contributes to the successful recognition of Canny operator with houghlines detection. Also the black color provides the best contrast with W Bar compared with other sheet colors. As the opposite, the gray

color material sheet has the highest unrecognition rate because of the low contrast with either W Bar or B Bar.

5.2.2 Recognition Accuracy. We compare the difference between recognition result and the ground-truth for position error. As shown in Figure 14, the baseline solution recognizes sheet edge position from the entire frame which is full of interference edge lines, and achieves an average error of 13.4mm. After narrowing down the recognition area from the entire frame to the ROI area of reference bars in the baseline, the average error decreases to 8.6mm. Edge-Eye achieves the average position error of 2.8mm with a standard deviation of 2.4. Compared with the manual recognition, our solution can improve the edge recognition accuracy by over 10×. However, if without reference bars, Edge-Eye will achieve the lower recognition accuracy, as illustrated by Gray*, Red* and Yellow* in Figure 14(c). That is, the contrast between the sheet and the background is reduced in the absence of reference bars, which would greatly affect the performance of edge detection. Judging from the production terms in Figure 14(b), the position error at the beginning stage is relatively large, with an average position error of 3.6mm. This is because that the material edge will get bent when the material expands in the initial stage, such that the issue of edge blur appears and the error of edge detection increases. Additionally, we evaluate the performance of image upsampling module. The average errors of original 4K frame and repaired 4K frame by 1080p frame are 2.6mm and 2.8mm, while their standard deviation values are 1.5 and 2.4, respectively. That is, Edge-Eye can efficiently improve the image quality with the image upsampling module.

5.2.3 Recognition Latency. During the production stage, *Edge-Eye* achieves an average recognition time of 200ms. As shown in Figure 15(a), the module of bi-direction edge tracking consumes the most time. Besides, the cache module has to calculate the image entropy for each frame in the cache pool thus consumes extra time. In Figure 15(b), we evaluate the recognition latency after disabling the module of cache, image upsampling, and mROI extraction in succession. Without module of cache, the average recognition time increases to 270ms. Without module of image upsampling, the average recognition time decreases to 110ms. Without module of mROI extraction, *Edge-Eye* directly tracks edge position in entire reference bar area and achieves an average latency of 460ms. It can be clearly found that the mROI extraction module reduces the latency most, and the module of image upsampling increases the



Figure 15: Latency analysis and rectification performance





Figure 17: Some typical challenging conditions

Figure 16: Evaluation of hyper-parameters of cache pool

extra latency, but without this module, the position error increases from 2.8mm to 5.4mm. From the perspective of material production, this is a considerable trade-off between latency and accuracy.

5.2.4 Cache Pool Analysis. We further evaluate the performance of cache pool scheme through hit success rate, hit calculation time and false positive rate, by adjusting the skip threshold and cache pool size. The hit success rate refers to the probability of successfully finding duplicated frames, and the false positive rate refers to the probability of mistaking the current frame as the duplicated one. 1) Skip Threshold: We make further experiments to determine the optimal skip threshold for cache pool, and the result is shown in Figure 16(a). As the skip threshold increases, the hit success rate increases. However, when the skip threshold is greater than 0.3, the false positive rate increases rapidly, affecting the further recognition accuracy. In fact, the entropy value represents the similarity between the two different frames in the pixel level. Thus, the larger skip threshold will lead to the wrong hit for the frames without enough similarity. Finally, we set the skip threshold to 0.03, which can reduce the recognition time without degrading the recognition accuracy. 2) Cache Pool Size: A large size of cache pool always leads to higher hit success rate, but also enlarges the hit calculation time. Here we conduct experiments about cache pool size for best recognition performance. As shown in Figure 16(b), the calculation time increases linearly with pool size, but the hit success rate increases slowly after the pool size exceeds 80. The slow increase of hit success rate means that most of similar frames are cached in the pool, thus a larger pool size contributes little to the hit success rate but greatly increases the hit computation time. Therefore, we choose the value of 80 as our cache pool size.

5.3 Evaluation of Edge Deviation Rectification

Finally, we evaluate the rectification performance for sheet edge deviation on the actual production line. First we deploy Edge-Eye on 2 production lines as the preliminary attempt. After this validation, we evaluate the deviation rectification performance of Edge-Eye on all production lines. 1) Edge Alignment Error: Figure 15(c) shows the alignment performance of *Edge-Eye*. Compared with the average deviation of 45mm for manual rectification, our solution performs much better in the validation stage, i.e., the average deviation is 4.86mm and the maximum value is 6.2mm. Further evaluation on all production lines reveals the average alignment error of 4.95mm with the maximum of 6.8mm. That is, Edge-Eye can provide the satisfying performance for the edge deviation rectification. 2) Raw Material Utilization Rate: Benefiting from the high edge alignment accuracy, the average utilization rate of raw material sheets increases from 87% to 94%. Among all sheet types, the utilization rate for products with minimum width (850mm) achieves the largest improvement, from 84.6% to 95.7%, which comes from the reduction of absolute edge alignment error and less raw material waste. Compared with the manual method, *Edge-Eve* uses the automatic rectification to improve the product quality and reduce the risk of being exposed to high temperature for personnel. Therefore, Edge-Eye can contribute to the IXPE production and provide a practical example for IIoT.

5.4 Case Study

Edge-Eye has been actually deployed in a large IXPE manufacturing enterprise. Specifically, 36 production lines are equipped with *Edge-Eye* system for automatic edge deviation rectification over 20 months. Here, in addition to the above experiment results in real production, we show some results towards some typical challenging conditions in Figure 17.

1) *Light reflection:* The deployment of shading plate reduces most of the light reflection, but still in some cases the light reflects to key



(a) Manual rectification by human
 (b) Automatic rectification by Edge-Eye
 Figure 18: Comparison of different rectification methods

recognition area and causes huge interference. When light reflects to the mROI area, it makes the vertical edge tracking unreliable, thus decreases the average recognition accuracy to 6.4mm. Due to the calculation of background edge points, the reflection light will be considered as the background and get subtracted from sheet edge points. In this way, the reflection light will cause the slight effect on the deviation rectification. Further design of the shading plate will be required to improve the stability of recognition.

2) Weak background light: It comes from the light equipment malfunction in the vertical heating furnace and lasts for a short time. In this case, the camera captures dark frames and reference bars provide less contrast with sheet color. Similar with the issue of light reflection, the vertical edge tracking fails to work, and the horizontal edge tracking may experience an accuracy drop. Nevertheless, we can achieve the average recognition accuracy of 4.8mm, which is acceptable for automatic rectification.

3) *Glass dirt:* As the small dirt is treated as the common background edge point, it brings no effect on the edge detection. In this case, the average recognition accuracy is 2.83mm, which is consistent with the accuracy of normal situation. The result indicates that *Edge-Eye* can achieve the millimeter-level accuracy for edge recognition even in challenging conditions, satisfying the requirements of actual production scenarios.

Meanwhile, we compare the variation of edge position between the traditional human supervision and our solution *Edge-Eye*. As shown in Figure 18, the edge position with the automatic rectification by *Edge-Eye* is much smoother than the manual rectification. In general, during the process of 20-month real deployment, 66 manpower per day (90% of the overall manpower) has been saved for 36 production lines, the utilization rate of IXPE material increases from 87% to 94%, thus the comprehensive output value has increased 400000 dollars per month.

6 CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose *Edge-Eye*, a camera-enabled automatic edge deviation rectification system for IXPE production with mmlevel accuracy. To achieve the *robust* edge detection, we deploy a pair of high contrast reference bars to enhance the edge contrast. To achieve the *time-efficient* edge detection with high *accuracy* in MEN, we use the minimized ROI extraction and cache method to reduce computing resources in both space and time domains, and then adopt the image upsampling method to improve the frame resolution for bi-direction edge tracking. Finally, *Edge-Eye* automatically rectifies the edge deviation in fine-grained level using multi-stage negative feedback control. We implemented *Edge-Eye* on the ARM64 platform. The real evaluation of 20-month deployment for 36 production lines shows that 90% of manpower is saved, and the utilization rate of IXPE material increases from 87% to 94%.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 61832008, 61872174, 61902175; Jiangsu Natural Science Foundation under Grant Nos. BK20190293 and the Key K&D Program of Jiangsu Province under Grant BE2020001-3; Collaborative Innovation Center of Novel Software Technology and Industrialization; The program B for Outstanding PhD candidate of Nanjing University. This work is also supported by Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery Project grant DP190101888. Lei Xie is the corresponding author.

REFERENCES

- Mostafa Alizadeh, George Shaker, João Carlos Martins De Almeida, Plinio Pelegrini Morita, and Safeddin Safavi-Naeini. 2019. Remote monitoring of human vital signs using mm-wave FMCW radar. *IEEE Access* 7 (2019), 54958–54968.
- [2] Gedas Bertasius, Jianbo Shi, and Lorenzo Torresani. 2015. Deepedge: A multiscale bifurcated deep network for top-down contour detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 4380–4389.
- [3] John Canny. 1986. A computational approach to edge detection. IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence 6 (1986), 679–698.
- [4] Xiaobo Chen, Juntong Xi, Ye Jin, and Jin Sun. 2009. Accurate calibration for a camera-projector measurement system based on structured light projection. Optics and Lasers in Engineering 47, 3-4 (2009), 310–319.
- [5] Zhenyun Chu, Shanshan Ji, Jinrui Wang, Xiaoyu Wang, Zongzhen Zhang, Xuefeng Zhao, and Baokun Han. 2021. A Generalizable Sample Resolution Augmentation Method for Mechanical Fault Diagnosis Based on ESPCN. *Journal of Sensors* 2021 (2021).
- [6] Wang Dong and Zhou Shisheng. 2008. Color image recognition method based on the prewitt operator. In 2008 International Conference on Computer Science and Software Engineering, Vol. 6. IEEE, 170–173.
- [7] Chen Hu, Linglong Dai, Talha Mir, Zhen Gao, and Jun Fang. 2018. Superresolution channel estimation for mmWave massive MIMO with hybrid precoding. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology* 67, 9 (2018), 8954–8958.
- [8] WANG Hui, ZHAO Feng-Jun, and DENG Yun-Kai. 2015. Development and application of the millimeter wave SAR. *Journal of Infrared and Millimeter Waves* 34, 4 (2015), 452.
- [9] Paul Cohen Juyang Herniou and Marc Herniou. 1992. Camera calibration with distortion models and accuracy evaluation. *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis* and machine intelligence 14, 10 (1992), 965–980.
- [10] Nick Kanopoulos, Nagesh Vasanthavada, and Robert L Baker. 1988. Design of an image edge detection filter using the Sobel operator. *IEEE Journal of solid-state circuits* 23, 2 (1988), 358–367.
- [11] Mikko Kytö, Mikko Nuutinen, and Pirkko Oittinen. 2011. Method for measuring stereo camera depth accuracy based on stereoscopic vision. In *Three-Dimensional Imaging, Interaction, and Measurement*, Vol. 7864. International Society for Optics and Photonics, 78640I.
- [12] Michael Maire, Stella X. Yu, and Pietro Perona. 2014. Reconstructive sparse code transfer for contour detection and semantic labeling. In Asian Conference on Computer Vision. Springer, 273–287.
- [13] David Marr and Ellen Hildreth. 1980. Theory of edge detection. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B. Biological Sciences 207, 1167 (1980), 187–217.
- [14] Elizabeth J O'neil, Patrick E O'neil, and Gerhard Weikum. 1993. The LRU-K page replacement algorithm for database disk buffering. Acm Sigmod Record 22, 2 (1993), 297–306.
- [15] Xavier Soria Poma, Edgar Riba, and Angel Sappa. 2020. Dense extreme inception network: Towards a robust cnn model for edge detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Winter Conference on Applications of Computer Vision. 1923–1932.
- [16] Holger Rapp. 2007. Experimental and theoretical investigation of correlating TOF-camera systems. (2007).
- [17] Azriel Rosenfeld. 1981. The max Roberts operator is a Hueckel-type edge detector. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 1 (1981), 101–103.
- [18] Julian Ryde and Nick Hillier. 2009. Performance of laser and radar ranging devices in adverse environmental conditions. *Journal of Field Robotics* 26, 9 (2009), 712–727.
- [19] John S Seybold. 2005. Introduction to RF propagation. John Wiley & Sons.
- [20] Jie Shan and Charles K Toth. 2018. Topographic laser ranging and scanning: principles and processing. CRC press.
- [21] Saining Xie and Zhuowen Tu. 2015. Holistically-nested edge detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. 1395–1403.
- [22] Zhiding Yu, Chen Feng, Mingyu Liu, and Srikumar Ramalingam. 2017. Casenet: Deep category-aware semantic edge detection. In Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition. 5964–5973.